STAFF REPORT - TOWN OF NORTH CASTLE PLANNING DEPARTMENT



APPLICATION NUMBER - NAME

#2020-012 - 4 Ledgewood Place

Site Plan, Wetlands Permit and Tree Removal

Permit Approvals

MEETING DATE May 11, 2020 SBL

107.02-2-46

PROPERTY ADDRESS/LOCATION

4 Ledgewood Place

BRIEF SUMMARY OF REQUEST

Proposed rebuilding of existing house and construction of a new porch. Removal of all stumps in disturbed areas as indicated in the site plan.

This property was recently referred for Planning Board site plan approval by the RPRC.



PENDING ACTION:	■ Plan Review	r □ Town Board Refe	erral 🔲 Preliminary	Discussion
EXISTING ZONING	EXISTING LAND	SURROUNDING	SITE	SIZE OF PROPERTY
LAIGTING ZONING	USE	ZONING & LAND USE	IMPROVEMENTS	SIZE OF TROPERTY
R-2A				4.05
One-Family	Disinfina Lat Mith	Desidential	Reconstruction of	4.35 acres
Residence District (2 acres)	Existing Lot With House	Residential	House	
		T		
PROPERTY HISTORY		COMPATIBILITY with the COMPREHENSIVE PLAN		
Existing Lat with Hame		Continue to take neighborhood contact into account in approxing new		

Existing Lot with Home

- Continue to take neighborhood context into account in approving new single-family homes.
- Continue to protect natural resources and environmentally sensitive areas such as rivers, streams, lakes, ponds, wetlands, flood plains, aquifers, wildlife habitats, steep slopes and forested areas, significant trees, and woodlands, among others, from unnecessary and avoidable impacts.
- Continue strong protection of tree cover through the tree removal permitting process.
- Preserve the current overall development pattern of North Castle and its neighborhoods. Be sure new development responds to environmental constraints, particularly for preservation of the New York City watershed.
- Maintain the quality-of-life created by physical and natural attributes, by structuring development that promotes sound conservation measures.
- The Town should encourage residential development that is compatible in scale, density, and character with its neighborhood and natural environment.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Given the amount of tree removal, it is recommended that additional tree planning should be provided.
- 2. Given the amount of wetland disturbance, it is recommended that the mitigation plan be revised and expanded.
- 3. It is recommended that additional screening be provided between the subject property and 6 Ledgewood.

Procedural Comments Staff Notes 1. The Proposed Action would be classified as a Type II Action pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA). 2. Pursuant to Section 12-18(1) of the Town Code, all site development plans submitted to the Planning Board are required to be referred to the Architectural Review Board (ARB) for review and comment. 3. Pursuant to Section 340-5.B(1) of the Town Code, the Conservation Board is required to review the proposed wetland application and, within 45 days of receipt thereof, file a written report and its recommendation concerning the application with the Planning Board. Such report is required to evaluate the proposed regulated activity in terms of the findings, intent and standards of Chapter 340. 4. A public hearing regarding the proposed site plan and wetlands permit will need to be scheduled. **General Comments** 1. The Applicant has removed 39 trees within the wetland buffer without a permit. In addition, the rebuilt home is located in the wetland buffer. Given the proposed impacts associated with the tree removal, it is recommended that the Applicant prepare a detailed, and significant, revegetation/mitigation plan in the area of tree removal. Such mitigation plan should include items such as tree replanting, introduction of native plants and no-mow zones. The mitigation plan should be coordinated with the Conservation Board. The submitted planting plan should be 2. The site plan depicts 12,413 square feet of wetland buffer disturbance. The Applicant will be required to prepare a mitigation plan that is at least twice the area revised to indicate the size of the mitigation area in square feet. Based upon a review of disturbance. of the submitted plans, the plan does not appear to be twice the size of the Given the size of this disturbance. property, it appears that the Applicant can comply with the 2:1 requirement. The plans should be revised to expand the mitigation area to meet the 2:1 requirement. 3. The site plan should be revised to provide a significant screening plan between the The submitted plan depicts planting 5 new subject site and 6 Ledgewood. October Glory trees between properties. It is recommended that this buffer be further supplemented with additional understory screening; consideration should be given to erecting a fence along this property line. 4. The Westchester County Health Department will need to determine the adequacy of utilizing the existing septic system for the re constructed house.